



SEMINAR SERIES

Integrating Surveys And Satellites for Agricultural Monitoring in Smallholder Farming Systems

CHAIR: ROBERT TOWNSEND, LEAD ECONOMIST, WORLD BANK 15 APRIL 2021



AGENDA

 Presentation: "Understanding the Requirements for Surveys to Support Satellite-based Crop Type Mapping: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa" Talip Kilic, Senior Economist, Development Data Group, World Bank

George Azzari, Chief Technology Officer, Atlas Al

• Discussants

Catherine Nakalembe, Africa Program Director, NASA Harvest

Aberash Tariku, Deputy Director, Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia

Christophe Duhamel, 50x2030 Data Production Manager, Food and Agriculture Organization



WORKING PAPER PRESENTATION

"Understanding the Requirements for Surveys to Support Satellite-based Crop Type Mapping: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa"





Background

- Role of agriculture in rural livelihoods
 - Byerlee et al. 2007, Davis, et al. 2017
- Need for accurate, crop-specific measures of area under cultivation, production and yields – not only at the nationallevel but with enhanced within-country disaggregation
- Surge in availability of high-resolution satellite imagery and evidence on the feasibility of satellite-based monitoring of agricultural outcomes in smallholder farming systems
 - Need for data to train and validate the underlying models



Background

Training Data Source: Labelled Imagery



Near real-time agriculture monitoring at national scale at parcel resolution: Performance assessment of the Sen2-Agri automated system in various cropping systems around the world

Pierre Defourny^a, Sophie Bontemps^{a,a}, Nicolas Bellemans^a, Cosmin Cara^b, Gérard Dedieu^c, Eric Guzzonato^d, Olivier Hagolle^c, Jordi Inglada^c, Laurentiu Nicola^b, Thierry Rabaute^d, Mickael Savinaud^d, Cosmin Udroiu^b, Silvia Valero^c, Agnès Bégué^{e, f}, Jean-François Dejoux^c, Abderrazak El Harti⁸, Jamal Ezzahar^{h,n}, Natalija Kussul¹, Kamal Labbassi¹, Valentine Lebourgeois^{e, r}, Zhang Miao^k, Terrence Newby¹, Adolph Nyamugama¹, Norakhan Salh^m, Andrii Shelestovⁱ, Vincent Simonneaux^{c,n}, Pierre Sibiry Traore^o, Souleymane S. Traore^p, Benjamin Koetz^q

Nominal 30-m Cropland Extent Map of Continental Africa by Integrating Pixel-Based and Object-Based Algorithms Using Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 Data on Google Earth Engine

by 🚺 Jun Xiong 1.2.* 🖾 🕕 Prasad S. Thenkabail 1. 🚺 James C. Tilton 3. 🚺 Murali K. Gumma 4 🧕 🕐 Pardhasaradhi Teluguntla 1.2, 🕐 Adam Oliphant 1, 🕐 Russell G. Congalton 5 🙆 🕐 Kamini Yadav 5 💿 and Noel Gorelick⁶



International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation Volume 89, July 2020, 102087

Multiple factors influence the consistency of cropland datasets in Africa

Yanbing Wei^a, Miao Lu^a A ⊠, Wenbin Wu^a A ⊠, Yating Ru^b⊠



NAME.

E

 \mathbb{Z}



Zhenong Jin ^{1,*}, George Azzari ¹, Marshall Burke ^{1,2}, Stephen Aston ³ and David B. Lobell ¹

	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	Thermony Ser
E A	Remote Sensing of Environment	1
SEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rse	

Smallholder maize area and yield mapping at national scales with Google Earth Engine

Zhenong Jin^{a,1}, George Azzari^{a,1,2}, Calum You^a, Stefania Di Tommaso^a, Stephen Aston^b, Marshall Burke^a, David B, Lobell^{a,a}

Satellite-based assessment of yield variation and its determinants in smallholder African systems Marshall Burke^{a,b,c,1,2} and David B. Lobell^{a,b,1}

*Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305; ^bCenter on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; and 'National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA 02138

Edited by B. L. Turner, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, and approved January 12, 2017 (received for review October 12, 2016)

Remote Crop Mapping at Scale: Using Satellite Imagery and UAV-Acquired Data as Ground Truth

by 🅼 Meghan Hegarty-Craver ^{1,*} 🗵 💿, 🔃 Jason Polly ¹ 🖾, 💽 Margaret O'Neil ¹ 🖾, 💽 Noel Ujeneza ² 🖾, 🚺 James Rineer 1 🖾 🕕 Robert H. Beach 1 🖾 😳 📢 Daniel Lapidus 1 🖾 and 📢 Dorota S. Temple 1 🖾

- 1 RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA
- ² Independent Agri-Consultant, Kigali 20093, Rwanda
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Remote Sens. 2020, 12(12), 1984; https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12121984

Training Data Source: Ground Data

Cree Cree



Estimating smallholder crops production at village level from Sentinel-2 time series in Mali's cotton belt

Marie-Julie Lambert^{a,*}, Pierre C. Sibiry Traoré^{b,c}, Xavier Blaes^a, Philippe Baret^a, Pierre Defourny^a

Maize Cropping Systems Mapping Using RapidEye **Observations in Agro-Ecological Landscapes in Kenya**

by 🕐 Kyalo Richard ¹, 🕐 Elfatih M. Abdel-Rahman ^{1,2}, 🕐 Sevgan Subramanian ¹, 🕐 Johnson O. Nyasani ^{1,3}, 🕐 Michael Thiel 4, 🜑 Hosein Jozani 4, 🕐 Christian Borgemeister 5 and 🚷 Tobias Landmann 1.* 🖂

American Journal of Agricultural Economics

Issues	JEL 🔻	Advance articles	About 🔻	All American Journal of Ag 🔻
Article (Contents		Eyes in the Sky, Boots on the Ground: Assess	sing
Abstract			Satellite- and Ground-Based Approaches to	Crop
Data			Yield Measurement and Analysis 👌	
Results			David B Lobell 📼, George Azzari, Marshall Burke, Sydney Gourlay, Zhenong Talip Kilic, Siobhan Murray — Author Notes	Jin,



MDPI

Sight for Sorghums: Comparisons of Satellite- and **Ground-Based Sorghum Yield Estimates in Mali**

David B. Lobell ^{1,*}, Stefania Di Tommaso ¹, Calum You ¹, Ismael Yacoubou Djima ², Marshall Burke¹ and Talip Kilic²





Background

- Role of agriculture in rural livelihoods
 - Byerlee et al. 2007, Davis, et al. 2017
- Need for accurate, crop-specific measures of area under cultivation, production and yields not only at the national-level but with enhanced within-country disaggregation
- Surge in availability of high-resolution satellite imagery and evidence on the feasibility of satellite-based monitoring of agricultural outcomes in smallholder farming systems
 - Need for data to train and validate the underlying models
- Evidence on the impact of training data on the quality and spatial resolution of satellite-based estimates
 - Lobell et al. 2019, 2020
- Research largely sub-national in scope, with heterogeneity in the scope of and approach to ground data collection



Contributions

- Address several operational and inter-related research questions in the context of high-resolution maize area mapping across Malawi and Ethiopia
 - What is the minimum volume of training data to reach an acceptable level of accuracy of a crop classification algorithm?
 - How does the approach to georeferencing plot locations in household surveys impact the accuracy of the same algorithm?
 - How do the type of satellite data and exclusion of plots under specific area thresholds affect the algorithmic accuracy?
- Inform the guidelines being developed under the 50x2030 initiative for the collection of georeferenced data in large-scale surveys to train and validate earth observation models for high-resolution crop type mapping and crop yield estimation in smallholder farming systems

Survey Data

٠

- Georeferenced plot-level survey data stem from nationally-representative, multi-topic surveys that were implemented by the Malawi NSO and the CSA of Ethiopia under the <u>World Bank LSMS-ISA Initiative</u>
 - Malawi Integrated Household Panel Survey (IHPS) 2019
 - Longitudinal sample, dating back to 2010
 - Reference season: 2018/19
 - Plot-level georeferenced information: Single plot corner point + plot boundaries
 - Malawi Fifth Integrated Household Survey (IHS5) 2019/20
 - Cross-sectional sample
 - Reference season: 2017/18 or 2018/19
 - Plot-level georeferenced information: Single plot corner point + plot boundaries
 - Ethiopia Socioeconomic Survey (ESS) 2018/19
 - Baseline for a new longitudinal sample
 - Reference season: 2018 meher season
 - Plot-level georeferenced information: Single plot corner point

50x2030

Survey Data (2)

Table 1: IHPS 2019 and IHS5 2019/20 rainy season plots by georeferenced information availability

	Plat asterory	IHPS 2019		IHS5 2019/20	
	Plot category		%	<u>Obs</u>	%
	Plots with no geolocation information	334	6.2	1,105	6.4
	Plots with a corner point, but no polygon boundary	1,365	25.4	4,871	28.4
	Plots with a corner point and a polygon boundary, but dropped from analysis	874	16.3	2,139	12.5
	Plots with a corner point and a polygon boundary, used for analysis	2,792	52.0	9,059	52.7
	Total # of Plots	5,365	100.0	17,174	100.0
Total # of Associated Households		2,3	335	8,77	70

Table 3: ESS 2018/19 meher season plots by georeferenced information availability

Blat astanaar	ESS 2018/19		
riot category	<u>Obs</u>	%	
Plots with no geolocation information	1,168	8.7	
Plots with a corner point, but dropped from analysis	299	2.2	
Plots with a corner point, used for analysis	11,905	89.0	
Total # of Plots	13,372	100.0	
Total # of Associated Households	2,199		

Table 2: IHPS 2019 and IHS5 2019/20 rainy season plots by maize cultivation status, conditional on being used for analysis

	IHPS 2019		IHS5 2019/20				
Season	2018/19		2018/19 2017/18		.7/18	2018/19	
Crop type	Obs	%	<u>Obs</u>	%	Obs	%	
Maize	2,033	72.8	2,330	71.4	4,222	72.9	
Non-maize	759	27.2	935	28.6	1,572	27.1	
Total # of Plots	2,792	100.0	3,265	100.0	5,794	100.0	
Total # of Associated Households	1,4	70	1,	926	3,5	506	

Table 4: ESS 2018/19 *meher* season plots by maize cultivation status, conditional on being used for analysis

Crean trans	ESS 2018/19		
Сгор туре	<u>Obs</u>	%	
Maize	1,867	15.7	
Non-maize	10,038	84.3	
Total # of Plots	11,905	100.0	
Total # of Associated Households	eholds 2,090		

Data Collection Scenarios





Input Imagery and Phenological Metrics

- Satellite observations from Sentinel 2 (optical features) and Sentinel 1 (SAR features), both at 10 m resolution.
- We used harmonic regressions to process time series of satellite features.
- Harmonic regressions allow us to capture phenological metrics (phase and amplitude) by fitting a harmonic curve to the observations.
- Phase and amplitude are good differentiators of the seasonality of different crops.
- We also added topography and seasonal weather metrics.





Data Collection Scenarios and Modeling

In Malawi, we tested a total of 26,250 scenarios:

- 7 geolocation methods boundary points, centroid, convex hull, corner, hull mean, plot points, and plot mean.
- 50 data subsets 2% to 100% subsets of training data, at an increment of 2% points.
- 5 area thresholds 0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2 ha.
- 3 feature types optical only, radar only, both optical and radar.
- 5 replications to capture variability due to random sampling

In Ethiopia, we tested a total of 250 scenarios – based on findings from Malawi and availability of only corner points:

- 1 geolocation method corner point
- 50 data subsets 2% to 100% subsets of training data, at an increment of 2% points.
- No area threshold, with optical data only
- 5 replications to capture variability due to random sampling

Notes: Color indicates number of plots.





Results – Geolocation Methods and Sample Size

- With less than 1,000 plots: multi-point approaches perform better.
- Greater than 2,000 plots: aggregation approaches
 plot mean (based on plot boundary) and hull mean (based on all corner points) - outperform all.
- Need about ~7,000 plots with a single corner point to reach performance with ~3,000 plots under aggregation approaches.
- Aggregation approaches had the fastest learning.
- Peak MCC can be achieved with ~ 60% of training data (~4,000 plots) under *plot mean* (preferred) and *hull mean* (second best).
- Corner point-based findings are comparable across Malawi and Ethiopia – though the peak MCC for corner point was reached at 3,000 plots as opposed to 4,500 in Malawi.
- Centroid method outperforms the single corner point method. If only a single georeferenced point can be collected by enumerators, that location should be near the center of the plot (third-best).





Results – Plot Size

- Limiting training data by excluding plots under specific area thresholds decreases performance.
- Exception: convex hull approach likely due to geometrical approximation of plot boundaries.





Results – Satellite Type

- SAR alone generally lower performance.
- Optical alone highest.
- No gain in predicting power when combined.



Small Differences, Large Consequences

- Small differences in model performance may lead to large differences in estimated areas.
- Multi-points methods tend to overclassify.
- Aggregated methods are more conservative.
- There is value in achieving small performance gains anchored in better training data.

Classification model	Out of sample MCC	Total maize area - 2018/19 rainy season (million ha)
Boundary points	0.21	2.27
Centroid	0.24	2.17
Convex hull	0.21	2.46
Corner	0.23	2.15
Hull mean	0.25	1.94
Plot points	0.24	2.41
Plot mean	0.26	1.99
Mean across models	0.23	2.19

Classification model	Difference in out of sample MCC	Total area with disagreement (million ha)
Boundary points	-0.05	0.84
Centroid	-0.02	0.48
Convex hull	-0.05	0.69
Corner	-0.03	0.95
Hull mean	-0.01	0.22
Plot points	-0.02	0.55

Fable 8: Malawi maize area as obtained by	v seven different classification models
---	---

Conclusions

- Collecting a **complete plot boundary** is preferable to competing approaches to georeferencing plot locations in large-scale household surveys. This is particularly true if collection capacity is limited to fewer locations.
- Seemingly-small erosion in maize classification accuracy under less preferable approaches to georeferencing plot locations consistently results in total area under maize cultivation to be overestimated - in the range of 0.16 to 0.47 million hectares (8 to 24 percent).
- Collecting GPS coordinates of the complete set of plot corners is a second-best strategy, can approximate full plot boundaries and can in turn train models with comparable performance.
- Classification performance peaks with ~60% of the training data under preferred and second-best approaches to
 georeferencing plot locations.
- If only a single GPS point can be collected, that location should be near the plot center rather than at the plot corner. With large datasets, the performance could be comparable to that of complete plot boundaries.
- No plot observations should be excluded from model training based on a minimum plot area threshold.
- Optical features alone can provide sufficient signal to maximize prediction quality.

Looking Forward

- Continuing research under the 50x2030 Initiative to ultimately inform the guidelines for surveys to enable satellite-based crop type mapping and yield estimation in smallholder farming systems
 - Improving accuracy of maize classification, including through expanded suite of machine learning approaches and geospatial covariates
 - Leveraging additional existing large-scale survey data from Mali, Malawi and Uganda with georeferenced plot outlines and objectives measures of yields based on crop cutting – to:
 - Expand crop classification to **new countries** and **new cereals**, including **sorghum**, **millet**, **wheat** and **rice**, to gauge the robustness of our recommendations
 - Conduct similar research to identify training data requirements for high-resolution yield estimation for maize and new cereals
 - Documenting (a) the accuracy of **out-of-season predictions** (e.g., using 2017/18 data from Mali to predict 2018/19 outcomes to be compared against actual 2018/19 data) and (b) the **decay in model accuracy** over time (i.e., over a 3-year period in Mali and Malawi)
 - Continuing research on object-based classification and **automated detection of plot boundaries** to potentially simplify ground data collection requirements
 - Depending on the COVID-19 pandemic, potentially conducting additional survey experiments in 2022 in non-African settings to increase the heterogeneity of farming systems subject to our research

Open Access Data Assets

10-m resolution crop area and maize area maps for Malawi and Ethiopia for each agricultural season from 2016 to 2019 on **World Bank Development Data Hub**





DISCUSSANT

Catherine Nakalembe Africa Program Director NASA Harvest



DISCUSSANT

Aberash Tariku Deputy Director Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia



IMPLICATIONS FOR ETHIOPIA AND BEYOND

Highly relevant to developing countries like Ethiopia where agriculture's contribution to economy is significant. Share of agriculture to GDP is 36.3%; rural population accounts for 78% of the total population

The research will:

- Help provide more accurate agricultural data which will result in effective planning and monitoring in the sector
- Integrating remote sensing with survey data will provide geographically disaggregated data which is highly required by policy makers
- Help provide timely data specially for forecasting crop area and production and will also reduce workload in big farms

IMPLICATIONS FOR ETHIOPIA AND BEYOND

- Ethiopia will exercise remote sensing to improve quality and timeliness of forecast survey and commercial farm survey through 50x2030. These activities will be considered in next survey round
- In Ethiopia, official source of agricultural data is Central Statistical Agency. CSA and Ministry of Agriculture are working together to facilitate implementation of the research planned for the use of remote sensing for forecasting and commercial farms survey. Once the agreed methodology is designed, CSA will collect the data and provide timely and quality data for the Ministry to monitor progress
- **CSA and Ministry of Agriculture collaborating** within the 50x2030 Country Coordination Group. These activities are incorporated in the Program Implementation Plan of the project
- For effective utilization of the research, developing countries should be supported to produce georeferenced survey data; satellite imagery should be availed; technical capacity required

DISCUSSANT

Christophe Duhamel 50x2030 Data Production Manager Food and Agriculture Organization







QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

JOIN THE CONVERSATION #50x2030



Food and Ag Organization United Natio

FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

S

FEEDFUTURE







THE WORLD BANK

BRD + IDA | WORLD BANK GROUP

SUPPORTED BY



Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development



50x2030.0RG

JL IFAD

Investing in rural people